Group Art Unit

05/23/06

09:52

HOLMDEL IP LAW → USPTO

D04

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER Response Under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2667

2667

Serial No. 08/787,651

MAY 23 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s):

E. Beck M. Rupp

Case:

3-16

Serial No.:

09/772,359 January 20, 2001

Filed: Examiner:

R. L. Murphy

Title:

Optimal Channel Sounding System

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 SIR:

RESPONSE

This communication is in response to the Office Action dated January 26, 2006.

Re<u>marks</u>

Claims 1-38 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-10, 15, 24, 25, 33, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being made obvious by United States Patent No. 6,483,866 issued to Suzuki on November 19, 2002 in view of United States Patent No. 6,907,270 issued to Blanz on June 14, 2005.

Claims 11-14, 16-23, 26-32, and 34-36 are apparently rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki and Blanz in further combination with one or more various additional references.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 1-10, 15, 24, 25, 33, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being made obvious by United States Patent No. 6,483,866 issued to Suzuki on November 19, 2002 in view of United States Patent No. 6,907,270 issued to Blunz on June 14, 2005. Regarding Suzuki, the Office Action states that elements RG1 and FR1 are a source of an orthogonal sequence which is repeatedly supplied as an output, as recited by applicants'

DAPATENTS\Beck-Rupp 3-16\Reck 3-16 am c.duc